

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Favorable reconsideration of this application as presently amended and in light of the following discussion is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-14, 22, and 23 are presently active.

In the outstanding Office Action, Claims 1-14, 22, and 23 were rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting over Claims 1-15, 26, and 28 in copending U.S. Serial No. 10/603,821, over Claims 6, 7, 17-20, and 23-26 in copending U.S. Serial No. 10/668,285, and over Claims 1-11 in copending U.S. Serial No. 10/734,248.

Regarding the double patenting rejection, a terminal disclaimer is filed herewith in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.321(c) which together with the common assignment to Advanced LCD Technologies overcomes the double patenting rejection.

With no presently outstanding rejection, it is respectfully submitted that independent Claims 1, 22, and 23 and the claims dependent therefrom patentably define over the applied prior art.

Consequently, in light of the above discussions, the outstanding grounds for rejection are believed to have been overcome. The application is believed to be in condition for formal allowance. An early and favorable action to that effect is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



Gregory J. Maier
Attorney of Record
Registration No. 25,599
Ronald A. Rudder, Ph.D.
Registration No. 45,618

Customer Number

22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000
Fax: (703) 413 -2220
(OSMMN 08/03)
GJM:RAR:clh

I:\ATTY\RAR\AMENDMENTS\239's\239525US\RFR.DOC